

MANDATE

1:17-cv-06903-RJS

S.D.N.Y. – N.Y.C.
17-cv-6903
Sullivan, J.

United States Court of Appeals FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 18th day of October, two thousand twenty-three.

Present:

Pierre N. Leval,
Susan L. Carney,
William J. Nardini,
Circuit Judges.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: **11/8/2023**

In re: Charles Oakley,



23-1039

Petitioner.

Petitioner has filed a petition for a writ of mandamus. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the petition is DENIED because Petitioner has not demonstrated that he lacks “other adequate means to attain the relief he desires,” or that his right to the writ is “clear and indisputable.” *Cheney v. U.S. Dist. Ct. for D.C.*, 542 U.S. 367, 380–81 (2004) (quotation marks and citations omitted).

FOR THE COURT:

Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

A True Copy

Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

United States Court of Appeals, Second Circuit




MANDATE ISSUED ON 11/08/2023